

NPEs: Trolls and/or Champs?

Yee Wah Chin

Comparative Analysis of Korean, Chinese and U.S. Approaches to NPEs 2014 ICR Law Center Seminar Korea University School of Law November 21, 2014





NPEs: Trolls and/or Champs?

- NPEs and PAEs
- What we know
- Questions
- U.S. developments
- Potential paths





NPEs and PAEs

- NPEs
 - □ Patent owners develop & transfer technology
 - Research universities
 - Semi-conductor design houses
 - □ PAEs
- PAEs
 - □ Purchase & assert patents
 - □ Ex ante licenses
 - □ Ex post licenses





What we know

- 2011-13 suits brought by PAEs rose from 29% of all infringement suits to 62% of all infringement suits
- 2012-13 filed patent cases 112.4%
 - More against non-technology businesses than technology businesses
 - Most non-technology defendants are retailers/small businesses
- Last ~12 years, median damage awards for NPEs (which includes PAEs) nearly double the median damages awarded to practicing entities.
- Patent litigation expensive





Questions

- What have PAEs been doing
 - How do PAEs organize their corporate legal structure, including parent & subsidiary entities?
 - □ What types of patents do PAEs hold & how do they organize their holdings?
 - How do PAEs acquire patents & how do they compensate prior patent owners?
 - □ How do PAEs engage in assertion activity (i.e. demand, litigation, and licensing behavior)?
 - What does assertion activity cost PAEs?
 - □ What do PAEs earn through assertion activity?
- Impact of PAEs on innovation
- What should be policy towards PAEs





U.S. Developments

- Congress
- White House
- Courts
- Agencies
- States





Congress

- Leahy-Smith America Invents Act 2011
 - Joinder rules
- ≥11 bills addressing PAEs in some way
- 2012-13 7 PAE-focused hearings
- H.R. 3309, Innovation Act
 - Pleading requirements
 - Discovery
 - Fee shifting
- S. 1720, Patent Transparency & Improvements Act
 - PAE demand letters
- GAO, Intellectual Property Report (Aug. 22, 2013)





White House

- Executive Actions & Legislative Recommendations - June 4, 2013
- National Economic Council & Council of Economic Advisors report "Patent Assertion and U.S. Innovation" – June 2013





Courts

- Alice Corporation Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank International, 573 U. S. ____ (June 19, 2014)
- Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 572
 U.S. ____ (June 2, 2014)
- Octane Fitness, LLC v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc., 572 U.S. ____ (April 29, 2014)
- Highmark Inc. v. Allcare Health Management Systems, Inc., 572 U.S. ____ (April 29, 2014)





Agencies

FTC/DOJ

- 2002 FTC/DOJ IP Hearings
- □ 2003 FTC IP Report
- □ 2007 FTC/DOJ Report on IP & Antitrust
- 2008 FTC N-Data Decision
- □ 2011 FTC IP Marketplace Report
- □ 2012 FTC/DOJ PAE Workshop
- □ 2013, 2014 FTC Public Consultations for PAE study
- □ 2014 FTC proposed MPHJ settlement

ITC

- 2013 pilot expedited fact finding program
- □ 2014 Technology Properties decision





States

- Vermont Anti-PAE law
 - Prohibits bad faith assertion of patent infringement
 - Bond against bad faith assertion of patent infringement
- Missouri Anti-PAE law
 - Prohibits bad faith assertion of patent infringement
 - Private cause of action
- Vermont sues PAE under Consumer Protection Act –
 MPHJ Technology Investments, LLC
- New York Assurance of Discontinuance MPHJ
- Nebraska AG cease & desist letter against law firm





Potential paths

- Patent law
- Consumer protection law
 - FTC civil penalty authority on bad faith demand letters
- Antitrust law





Antitrust

- 9 No-no's
- 1995 IP Guidelines
- Antitrust standards
 - Market power
 - □ Abuse of monopoly power
 - □ Collusive anti-competitive conduct
 - Acquisitions tending to monopoly



NPEs: Trolls and/or Champs?

Yee Wah Chin ywchin@ingramllp.com